		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	an/	10/002,620		SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner-indated interview duminary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		C. SAYALA		1761	
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) <u>C. SAYALA</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Mr Pillai</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>1 May 2006</u>		Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
Claims discussed: N/A					
Prior art documents discussed: N/A					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Correction of a typographical error in claim 14 was auth		RAL NATURE OF WH	IAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. Th eparate	e examiner will provide record of the substanc	e a writte e of the	en summary of t interview, since	he substance
Claral					
(Éxaminer/\$PE Signature) (A	Applicant	/Applicant's Represent	tative Sig	nature – if appr	opriate)